

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA  
DUBLIN DIVISION

THONY BEAUBRUN,


Plaintiff,

v.

LIEUTENANT BRAY and OFFICER  
BENTLEY,

Defendants.

CV 322-097


U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
AUGUSTA DIV.  
2023 AUG -3 P 3:14  


**ORDER**

After a careful, *de novo* review of the file, the Court concurs with the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation, to which objections have been filed. (Doc. nos. 47, 51.) Nothing in Plaintiff's objections changes the analysis that Plaintiff's second amended complaint is due to be dismissed for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted.

Accordingly, the Court **OVERRULES** Plaintiff's objections, **DENIES** as **MOOT** Plaintiff's Motion for the Court Clerk to Remove Plaintiff from Forma Pauperis to Only Pro Se, (doc. no. 45), Motions for Entry of Default, (doc. nos. 46, 48), and Motion for Summary Judgment, (doc. no. 49), **ADOPTS** the Report and Recommendation of the Magistrate Judge as its opinion, **DISMISSES** Plaintiff's second amended complaint against Defendants Bray and Bentley for failure to state a claim upon which relief may be granted, and **CLOSES** this civil action.

SO ORDERED this 3rd day of August, 2023, at Augusta, Georgia.

  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE